











VENABLE



Spotlight On: Rituximab



October 31, 2024

6.121,428   ···   ·		Genentech v. Celltrion 1:18-cv- 11553 (D.N.J.) Settled Cont'd below	Genentech v. Celltrion 1:18-cv- 00574 (D.N.J.) Settled Cont'd below	Celltrion v. Genentech 4:18-cv-00276 (N.D. Cal.) Dismissed; Appeal No. 18- 2161 Dismissed Cont'd below	Genentech v. Sandoz 1:17-cv- 13507 (D.N.J.) Dismissed Cont'd below	Genentech v. Dr. Reddy's 1:23-cv- 22485 (D.N.J.) Settled Cont'd below	Celltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-01227 Institution denied	Celltrion v. Genentech IPR2017- 01230 Institution denied	Pfizer v. Genentech IPR2017- 02126 Institution denied	Boehringer Ingelheim v. Genentech IPR2015-00415 Petitioner request for adverse judgment after institution	Celltrion v. Genentech IPR2015- 01744 Petitioner dismissed prior to institution decision	Celltrion v. Genentech IPR2016-01614 FWD (no instituted claim unpatentable); Appeal 18-1924 dismissed	Pfizer v. Genentech IPR2017-01115 (Joined with IPR2016-01614) FWD (no instituted claim unpatentable); Appeal 18-1885 dismissed (settled)
6.331.415 x	6,121,428		Х		х								
6.417,335 1 x	6,242,177		х										
6,455,043   x	6,331,415	х	х	x	х								
6.489.447xx	6,417,335		х	x									
6,586,206xx	6,455,043		х	х									
6.610.516xx		х	х	х	х								
6,620,918xxxxxxx6,716,602xxx <td>6,586,206</td> <td></td> <td>х</td> <td>х</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	6,586,206		х	х									
6.716,602xxx <th< td=""><td>6,610,516</td><td>х</td><td>х</td><td>х</td><td>х</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	6,610,516	х	х	х	х								
6,870,034 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $x$ <td>6,620,918</td> <td>х</td> <td>х</td> <td>х</td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	6,620,918	х	х	х	Х								
7,381,560xx			х	х									
7,390,660xx					х								
7,485,704xx<		х	х		х								
7,682,612xxxxxxxx7,807,799xxx <td></td> <td></td> <td>Х</td> <td>х</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			Х	х									
7,807,799xx<		х	х	х	х	х							
7,820,161xxxxxxxxxxxx7,820,161xxxxxxxxxxxx7,820,161xxxxxxxxxxxx7,820,161xxxxxxxxxxxx7,923,221xxxxxxxxxxxxxx7,923,221xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx7,923,221xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx7,923,221xxxxxxxxxxxxxx7,923,221xxxxxxxxxxxxxx7,923,221xxxxxxxxxxxxxx7,923,221xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx7,923,221xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx <td></td> <td></td> <td>Х</td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>x</td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			Х	Х			X	x	Х				
7,923,221XXXXXXXXXXXXCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2015-00417 Petitioner adverse judgment after institutionCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2015- 01733 Petitioner deniedPfizer v. Genentech IPR2017- 01923 Settled after institution deniedSandoz v. Sandoz v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017- 01923 Settled after institution deniedSandoz v. Genentech IPR2017- 02036 Institution deniedSandoz v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedSandoz v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedSandoz v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedSandoz v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedSandoz v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedSandoz v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution deniedCelltrion v. Genentech IPR2017-02042 Instit		х	х	Х									
7,923,221xx<	7,820,161	Х	х	X	Х					x	x	x	X
7,976,838 x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	7,923,221	x	x	x	x		Ingelheim v. Genentech IPR2015-00417 Petitioner request for adverse judgment after	Genentech IPR2015- 01733 Petitioner dismissed prior to institution	Genentech IPR2016- 01667 Institution	Genentech IPR2017- 01923 Settled after	Genentech IPR2017- 02036 Institution	Genentech IPR2017-02042 Institution	Genentech IPR2018-01019 Joined with IPR2017-01923; Settled after
	7,976,838	х	х	х	х	х	x	х	х	x	Х	x	х



	Genentech v. Celltrion 1:18-cv- 11553 (D.N.J.) Settled (Cont'd)	Genentech v. Celltrion 1:18-cv- 00574 (D.N.J.) Settled (Cont'd)	Celltrion v. Genentech 4:18-cv-00276 (N.D. Cal.) Dismissed; Appeal No. 18- 2161 Dismissed (Cont'd)	Genentech v. Sandoz 2:17-cv- 13507 (D.N.J.) Dismissed (Cont'd)	Genentech v. Dr. Reddy's 1:23-cv- 22485 (D.N.J.) Settled (Cont'd)	Celltrion v. Genentech IPR2017- 01229 Institution denied	Pfizer v. Biogen IPR2017- 02127 Institution denied	Boehringer Ingelheim v. Biogen IPR2015- 00418 Institution denied	Celltrion v. Biogen IPR2017-01093 Institution denied	<i>Pfizer v. Biogen</i> IPR2017-01166 Institution denied; Request for rehearing withdrawn	<i>Pfizer v. Biogen</i> IPR2018-00285 Settled after institution	
8,044,017		х	х									
8,206,711	х	x	x	x		x	х					
8,314,225				х								
8,329,172	х	x	х	x				х	x	х		х
8,357,301		x	x						Pfizer v. Biogen	Celltrion v. Biogen		Pfizer v.
8,460,895		x	x		x	Pfizer v.	Celltrion	Pfizer v.	IPR2017-01168 FWD (All	IPR2017-01095 FWD (All instituted	Pfizer v. Biogen	Biogen IPR2018-
8,512,983		x	x	x	x	Genentech IPR2018- 00086 Institution denied	<i>v. Biogen</i> IPR2017- 01094 Institution denied	· IPR2017- 01167	instituted claims unpatentable); Appeal 19-1364 affirmed with US, settled with Pfizer	claims unpatentable); Appeal 19-1253 dismissed as to US, settled with Celltrion	IPR2018- 00186 Terminated after institution	00231 Petitioner dismissed prior to institution decision
8,545,843	x	х	x	х		х						
8,557,244	х	х	х	х			х	х				
8,574,869	х	x	х	х	х							
8,633,302		х	х									
8,710,196		х	х	х								
8,771,988		x	х									
8,821,873	х	х	х	х					Х			
8,822,655		x	х									
9,047,438		x	х									
9,080,183		x	x									
9,296,821	х	х	х	х						Х	х	
9,428,548		х	х									
9,428,766		х	х									
9,487,809		x	x									
9,504,744	х	х	х	х								х
9,714,293		х	x	x	x							





	Genentech v. Dr. Reddy's 1:23-cv-22485 (D.N.J.) Settled (Cont'd)
10,017,732	x
10,336,983	x
10,450,379	x
10,654,940	x
10,662,237	x
10,676,710	x
10,759,866	X
10,829,732	x
10,982,003	x

**Technology:** Rituximab is a chimeric murine/human monoclonal antibody that is directed against the CD20 antigen. CD20 is a protein that is primarily found on the surface of immune system B cells. CD20 is involved in cell growth and differentiation.